B.

Journal Nr. 20 of 1899.

Leaves 1 — 6

Received
12/5.

communicated
19-5-14

SECTION Fourth

FILE No. 20 C 1899.

SER'AL No.

However that may
be, I do not claim
that the Hy Comm
could recall the
decision made by
Mr Dunn Parliamen
Counsel and direct
Mr Meredith to
withdraw the
action - The Hy Comm
decision & the
Secretary's letter
which he has
given no reasons,
does not seem to
Counsel - the Minister
exercised a
discretion vested in
him by Statute
& not subject to
review by Court
or Judge - The
Statute gives
the Hy Comm
power to
withdraw
any action
at any
time -
Mr Meredith
has already
delivered his
opening address
to the Jury
& called
witnesses -
The Hy Comm
has not
interfered with
the conduct
of the case
by Counsel
in any way
- He does
not
[illegible]
C 8/31

I quite agree with the Secy in the views he takes of the truly character of the contract which so far as I can see has been fully executed - The initial mistake lay in the fact that private offers were invited accepted though with the best of intentions and the risk of adding to public loss were the best offers by wide & proper publication was aggravated by inattention to the suggestion of the Court of Feists to the contrary. The local authorities cannot under the circs be acquitted of want of mercantile instinct and business like procedure, and the loss which the Fort will undergo by inability to accept higher bids whether actuated by private malice, stupidity, or by the like

Secretary

Observations

No 307 3. 916.
160

No matter appear
for the present - I
received the papers
just now - The
Defendants' Affid
gives the particulars - I subm
mit the papers
as rec'd to-day
for
delay.

RD 6.30 Pm
23.3.900.

17/3/00

Filed

We will hear from
Officer on adjourned
application of

3

Mean of Rs 2500
for the Gorile Sumi Railway
and of Rs 4500 for
the Bajirali Railway,
and the Dy Town in
asking upon that
advice and closing
with the Nizam. after, seem
to have both been
in ignorance of the favourable turn
[illegible] and recently let in
with the market and to
have done what
they considered best
with their interests. At
seem doubtful whether
the above sum is
about accumulable
for the subsequent
which latter offer
made by Mahratta
Khan in which [illegible]
seem also due to
the ill feeling existing
between himself and
Abdul Rahiman - This
Abdul seems to be
[illegible] by Abdul
Rahiman Nankir Ven
Rahimtoo [illegible]
called a Lanyer from
Mahratta [illegible]

x Not bona fide
No earnest money
Paid down

2) 6.

of price in the market is certainly due however alone cause under all the circumstances of the case for have no alternative but to enforce the terms which have been already accepted. The Dry Cows and for which written agreements have been executed.

It is however desirable to express upon this matter concerned the desirability of conducting such sales either by open competition or the submission of tenders on a fixed day -

Draft

27.3.1900

Draft dated 28/3/00 CS

Draft received & submitted herewith

I have written to the Dy Comr telling him that

5

the taler cannot be can-
-celled & that the under dictum
of Lucas. by him stained
be removed at once - I
have drawn a comm on
the certain & the con-
-servator -

28/3/00

Urgent.

Ill. 6026
MAR 15 1900
F 370

From

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS

IN MYSORE.

(1)

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SECTION Forest

FILE No. 20 of 1899

SERIAL No. 1

Dated 16th March 1900.

Despatched 17th

Received

General No. 3073

No. 469 ✓

Enclosure No. 2 bundles of papers.

SUBJECT.

Informing of a difficul-
ty which has arisen
respecting the Sale
of Jangadi bark
in certain Talukes of
Kolar, and subg
papers connected
therewith for the orders
of Govt.

Rec.d 5.15. P.M
Regd & Subd.
17/3/00
Submitted to Secretary
17/3/00

Urgent.

17.3.

URGENT

Rev. Forests
No. 3073
460. Enclosures 2 files.

OFFICE OF THE
CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 16th March 1900
17

From

J. L. PIGOT, Esquire,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward a letter (No.200 dated 13th instant)and its accompaniments in original, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, regarding a difficulty which has arisen respecting the sale of tangadi bark in the Goribidnur and Bagepalli taluks. Mr.Ramanujaiengar asks me to decide the case; but in view of the issues involved I do not see my way to do so, and beg therefore to submit the papers for the early orders of Government..

2. The Deputy Commissioner appears either to have over - looked certain relevant papers or to have been mistakenly advised by his District Forest Officer. When the results of the original sales, held on the 28th November last, were reported to me, I expressed the opinion (my letter 217/460 dated the 14th December) that none of the offers should be accepted, and suggested that the leases should be again put up to auction after some months. As will be seen, the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Sparkes), who really controls these sales under the terms o f auction, agreed and directed that an auction should be held at

some future date,. Subsequently, when forwarding on the 20th December an increased offer amounting to Rs.2500/- for the Goribidnur taluk, Mr.Sparkes recorded that "as tenders have not been called for this cannot be accepted". From the present correspondence it appears, however, that leases for Goribidnur and Bagepalli were disposed of by private treaty,. the amount for the former (2500) being that which the previous Deputy Commissioner has decided should not be accepted, while that for the latter (Rs. 4500) slightly exceeded the original auction bid of Rs.4000/- which had been refused.

3. It will be observed that the lessees of the two taluks executed agreements and, apparently, were placed in possession. Upon receipt of the offer of Rs.9000/-for Bagepalli both lessees were warned that they should not consider their agreements as confirmed; and in fact their work has been stopped. The Deputy Commissioner considers that Government may safely face legal pro -ceedings for breach of contract or otherwise. I have asked him by wire for his reasons which will be at once communicated to Government.

4. The private sales concluded by the Deputy Commissioner as representing Government were either valid or invalid. There is nothing in the papers leading me to think that they can be re - garded as unauthorised, although the preceding Deputy Commission -er had ordered an auction sale to be held. Itis true that precedents exist for private sales. But in view of the correspondence which took place and the existing uncertainty as to the value of tanning barks, sales I think should obviously have been conducted either by public auction or by a public call for tend- -ers. It is most unfortunate that this was not done; as the effect may be to debar Government from reaping the benefit of what appears to be a marked rise in the price of bark.

5. With these remarks, I submit the case and would ask for very early orders, to be communicated , if desirable, to the Deputy Commissioner direct. If the sales have been properly con -cluded, interference with the lessees is wrong and may involve

17.3.20

Urgent.

URGENT

Rev, Forests
No, 3073
460. Enclosures 2 files.

OFFICE OF THE
CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 16/17 March 1920.

From

J. L. PIGOT, Esquire,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward a letter (No.200 dated 13th instant)and its accompaniments in original, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, regarding a difficulty which has arisen respecting the sale of tangadi bark in the Goribidnur and Bagepalli taluks. Mr.Ramanujaiengar asks me to decide the case; but in view of the issues involved I do not see my way to do so, and beg therefore to submit the papers for the early orders of Government..

2. The Deputy Commissioner appears either to have over - looked certain relevant papers or to have been mistakenly advised by his District Forest Officer. When the results of the original sales, held on the 28th November last, were reported to me, I expressed the opinion (my letter 217/460 dated the 14th December) that none of the offers should be accepted, and suggested that the leases should be again put up to auction after some months. As will be seen, the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Sparkes), who really controls these sales under the terms o f auction, agreed and directed that an auction should be held at

3

Government in a suit for damages. I also forward my office file of correspondence for favour of return when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

For Conservator of Forests.

R
19.3.00

Gl. No. [illegible]
MAR 19 1900
No [illegible]
SECRETARIAT'S OFFICE

6040

Sec H. 375

4

From

SECTION H. Conservator of forests to

FILE No. 2067-1899 H. Mysore

SERIAL No. 2

To

The Secretary to Government
Genl: and Rev: Departments

Dated
Despatched } 17th March 1900.
Received

General No. 3073.
No. 460.

Enclosure No. 1 2

In continuation of this office No: 3073. 460 dated 16th instant, (despatched to day) has the honour to forward copies of the marginally noted papers in re-Jaugadi sales in the Holar district.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
For Conservator of forests.

Please see with the file I sent you for perusal & return. There does not seem to be much force in the Conservator's reasoning.
19/3/00.

Telegram to Deputy Commissioner, Holar dated 15.3.1900.

Telegram from —do:— dated 16.3.1900.

D.O. from —do:— dated 16.3.1900.

Enclo to 41-2

5

D.O.

Srinivaspur
16th March 1900.

(D.O. from Mr N. Ramanuja Iyer, Deputy Commissioner of Kolar to Mr. J. L. Pigot).

Your wire of yesterday reached me here this morning. I have little to add to what has been already stated in my letter. The leases were to have taken effect from the 15th ultimo and as explained therein we have taken timely precautions and interdicted the contractors from entering upon the collection of the tanning barks before that date. They cannot therefore reasonably complain of any loss if their leases be cancelled. This as I said in my reply wire of this morning is the strong point in the case. If you however entertain any doubts about the legal aspect of the case I may suggest a report being made to Government in view to the opinion of the Government Advocate being taken if necessary

"Copy"

Necessarily

For Conservator of Forests

[Telegram dated 15th March 1900 from the Conservator of Forests to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.]

" Your letter Sangadi para 10. Please wire reasons for thinking validity Sales concluded can be contested !"

---

(2) [Telegram dated 16th March 1900 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.]

" The strong point is that we interdicted contractors before date from which contracts were to take effect. Letter follows."

Copies.

Macaulay

for Conservator of Forests.

4.

Code Class. }

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number. } 871

(3)

To (Office)

From (Office)

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions

To (Person)

From (Person)

84 Word Form.

T 14a.

Recd. from LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

W 5 26/5

F. N.

No. 014

Local No. 71

(of R. P. Telegram.)

Government Telegraph Department.

Name and Date Stamp of Booking Office.

Pass for a Reply Paid Telegram.

The sum of Rupees (in words).....

Annas.....

has been deposited for a reply to this telegram. The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within 30 days of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the telegram form.* This Pass may also be used for any Inland telegram sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

PARTICULARS OF THE TELEGRAM.
I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made.
II. Date and Time of Telegram.
III. Name of Addressee.

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of the reply be less than the amount deposited, no refund will be given for the amount not availed of; but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been deposited will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta, if made within 30 days of date of issue. The application must be supported by this Pass, without which no refund will be granted.

Name and Date Stamp of Office of Issue.

In cases where this Pass is for a reply to a foreign telegram, see Service Regulations printed on the back of this form.

Extract from Foreign Service Regulation LI.

This Pass entitles the addressee to send a foreign telegram within the limits of the amount prepaid to any destination whatever. When the charge for the telegram franked by this Pass exceeds the value of the Pass, the difference must be paid in cash. But when the contrary case occurs, the difference is refunded to the depositor of the prepaid amount if he applies for it.

The Pass remains in force for six weeks only counting from its date, after which it is considered to have lapsed. When the addressee does not make use of the Pass the money deposited for the reply is refunded if the following procedure be adopted, namely, the addressee must, before the expiration of three months from the date of issue, return the Pass to the office which delivered it accompanied by a request for the amount to be refunded to the sender (*i.e.*, the depositor of the reply prepaid amount).

F.A.

Class. *(in full.)*

INDIA TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To *(Office)*

Number

Date Stamp of Post Office

Cost Rs. As.

Extra charges Reply, Delivery, &c. Rs. As.

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars, giving full cost, to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY, in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes.

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT, the entire stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line.

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices. Postage Stamps are only accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Office for transmission.

For INLAND TELEGRAMS *only.*

Stamps cut, torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the form and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary.

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day.*	*H.*	*M.*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE, EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION.

ADDRESS FREE

To

(Telegraph Office) .....

(Person) .....

(Address) (in full) .....

From

(Telegraph Office) .....

(Person) .....

Here write whether your telegram is State *or* Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender, it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY *or* DEFERRED.

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sent to *I.N.*

At *Hrs.* *Mts. by* .....

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. *(Not signalled.)*

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept *ALL RISKS* arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram, enclosing this receipt.

For detailed rules, see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt, must be placed above the dotted line.

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient.

SECTION Forest

FILE No. 20 of 1899

SERIAL No. 3

7

Copy of Telegram

Deferred.

SECTION Forests
FILE No. 20 G/1829 (2)
SERIAL No. 4

To,

The Deputy Conservator,
Kolar District.

Tanning bark sales of Goribidanur and Bagepalli Taluks cannot be ~~can~~ cancelled. Please remove interdiction immediately and avoid further trouble. Order follows through Conservator.

(Sd.) C. Sreenivasaiya
28/3/00

No. 6928 / F.7.20-99 dt 29/3 Copy forwarded to the Conservator of Forests in duplicate, for information.

B.O.

for Secy.

No. 6929 / F.7.20-99 dt 29/3 Post-copy forwarded to the Deputy Commr., Kolar Dist.

B.O.

28/3/1900

for Secy.

Draft approved
ISSUED

SECTION Forest
FILE NO. 207/1899
SERIAL NO. 5

Read

Letter No. 3073/460 dated the 16th March 1900 from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore reporting on the sales of tanegadi bark in certain taluks of the Kolar District.

Print in extenso

Letter No. 3075/460 dated the 17th March 1900 from the same Office.

Put file

No. 6994-5
W.F. 20-99 & 30-3-00.
30.

Order thereon.

The District Forest Officer in advising the acceptance of the offer of Rs. 2500 for the Gauribidnur Taluk and of Rs. 4500 for the Bagepalli Taluk and

10

the Dy Commr: in acting upon that advice and closing with those offers, seem to have both been in ignorance of the fact that a favorable turn had recently set in in the Market and to have done what they considered best in Government interests. It seems doubtful whether the above turn is alone accountable for the subsequent much higher offer made by Mohotaf Khan or whether that offer was not also due to the ill feeling existing between himself and Abdul Rahiman. This doubt seems ~~to have~~ accentuated by Abdul Rahmans partners Venkat reddy's paper offer of even a larger sum than Mohotaf Khan's received on 23d. February.

However that may be it is not clear how the Govt. could recall his acceptance of the offers made by Abdul Rahman, Mastan and Sheik Mohdeen whose contracts are of a binding character and have been fully executed. The initial mistake lay in the fact that private offers were privately accepted, though with the best of intentions, and the risk of failing to secure the best offers by wide and proper publication was aggravated by inattention to the suggestion of the Conservator of Forests to the contrary. The local authorities cannot under the circumstances be acquitted of the grave

He acted in the interests as the representative of Govt. There was nothing Ultra Vires in what he did. ~~The Govt. by not subsequently to be instituted after the accepted offer. The Govt. by Contrary, assign adequate~~

of mercantile institution
and business like procedure,
and the loss which the Govt.
will undergo by inability
to accept higher bids whether
actuated by private ill will,
or by the rise of price in
the market, is certainly due
to the above cause.

Under all the circumstances
of the case Govt. have no
alternative but to confirm
the bases which have been
absolutely accepted by the
Dy Commr. and for which
written agreements have
been executed.. —

It is however desirable
to impress upon the Officers
Concerned the desirability
of Conducting such sales
either by open competition
or the submission of tenders by calling for the sale on
a fixed day.

H. Grant.

28/3/00 Secy 29/3/00 M. 30/3/00

To the Comr. of Forests returning original file
the Dy. Commr. Koler.

READ—

(1) The following letter No. 3073—460, dated the 16th March 1900, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

I have the honor to forward a letter (No. 200, dated 13th instant) and its accompaniments in original, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, regarding a difficulty which has arisen respecting the sale of tangadi bark in the Goribidnur and Bagepalli taluks. Mr. Ramanujaiengar asks me to decide the case; but in view of the issues involved I do not see my way to do so, and beg therefore to submit the papers for the early orders of Government.

2. The Deputy Commissioner appears either to have overlooked certain relevant papers or to have been mistakenly advised by his District Forest Officer. When the results of the original sales, held on the 28th November last, were reported to me, I expressed the opinion (my letter No. 217—460, dated the 14th December) that none of the offers should be accepted, and suggested that the leases should be again put up to auction after some months. As will be seen, the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Sparkes), who really controls these sales, under the terms of auction, agreed and directed that an auction should be held at some future date. Subsequently, when forwarding, on the 20th December, an increased offer amounting to Rs. 2,500 for the Goribidnur taluk, Mr. Sparkes recorded that "as "tenders have not been called for, this cannot be accepted." From the present correspondence it appears, however, that leases for Goribidnur and Bagepalli were disposed of by private treaty, the amount for the former (Rs. 2,500) being that which the previous Deputy Commissioner has decided should not be accepted, while that for the latter (Rs. 4,500) slightly exceeded the original auction bid of Rs. 4,000 which had been refused.

3. It will be observed that the lessees of the two taluks executed agreements and, apparently, were placed in possession. Upon receipt of the offer of Rs. 9,000 for Bagepalli both lessees were warned that they should not consider their agreements as confirmed; and in fact their work has been stopped. The Deputy Commissioner considers that Government may safely face legal proceedings for breach of contract or otherwise. I have asked him by wire for his reasons which will be at once communicated to Government.

4. The private sales concluded by the Deputy Commissioner as representing Government were either valid or invalid. There is nothing in the papers leading me to think that they can be regarded as unauthorized, although the preceding Deputy Commissioner had ordered an auction sale to be held. It is true that precedents exist for private sales. But in view of the correspondence which took place and the existing uncertainty as to the value of tanning barks, sales, I think, should obviously have been conducted either by public auction or by a public call for tenders. It is most unfortunate that this was not done; as the effect may be to debar Government from reaping the benefit of what appears to be a marked rise in the price of bark.

5. With these remarks, I submit the case and would ask for very early orders, to be communicated, if desirable, to the Deputy Commissioner direct. If the sales have been properly concluded, interference with the lessees is wrong and may involve Government in a suit for damages. I also forward my office file of correspondence for favor of return when no longer required.

(2) Letter No. 3075—460, dated the 17th March 1900, from the same Officer.

No. 6994-5—FT. F. 20-99, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MARCH 1900.

ORDER THEREON—The District Forest Officer in advising the acceptance of the offer of Rs. 2,500 for the Goribidnur taluk and of Rs. 4,500 for the Bagepalli taluk, and the Deputy Commissioner in acting upon that advice and closing with those offers, seem to have both been in ignorance of the fact that a favorable turn had recently set in in the market and to have done what they considered best in

Forest, dated 30th March 1900.

Government interests. It seems doubtful whether the above, turn is alone accountable for the subsequent much higher offer made by Mahatap Khan or whether that offer was not also due to ill-feeling existing between himself and Abdul Rahiman. However that may be, it is not clear how the Deputy Commissioner could recall his acceptance of the offers made by Abdul Rahiman, Mastan and Sheik Mohadin, whose contracts are of a binding character and have been fully executed. He acted in the matter as the representative of Government. There was nothing *ultra vires* in what he did. The initial mistake lay in the fact that private offers were privately accepted, though with the best of intentions, and the risk of failing to secure the best offers by wide and proper publication was aggravated by inattention to the suggestion of the Conservator of Forests to the contrary. The local authorities cannot, under the circumstances, be acquitted of the want of mercantile instinct and business-like procedure, and the loss which the Government will undergo by inability to accept higher bids whether actuated by private ill-will, or by the rise of price in the market, is certainly due to the above cause.

2. Under all the circumstances of the case, Government have no alternative but to confirm the leases which have been absolutely accepted by the Deputy Commissioner and for which written agreements have been executed.

3. It is, however, desirable to impress upon the officers concerned the desirability of conducting such sales either by open competition or by calling for tenders to be submitted on a fixed day.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Conservator of Forests in Mysore, returning original file.
The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Exd.—C.V.

1899-1900

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FOREST

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th March 1900.

No. 6994-5 Ft.F. 20-99.

Passing orders on the Conservator's letter regarding the sale of Tangadi bark in the Goribidnur and Bagepalli Taluks, Kolar District.

SBP—9-4-1900—200

15

SECTION Jamison

FILE No. 20/1899

SERIAL No. 6.

T—14.

Class. | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Monthly Local No.

To Station: Bay Store C[illegible] | From Station: Fort Chipbal

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instruction.
28	19	23	23	Repeat

To (Person): The Secretary Indian Office

From (Person): The Deputy Commissioner Lond

(6)

1 Can and new
2 Appointments
3
4
5 Your telegram Comptr.
6 Money Tender Clerk
7 Duties Land Inspectors
8 Gatekeeper Messenger
9 Collection Tuition immediately
10
11
12
13
14

1 AP 99 CENTRAL POST OFFICE

RECD. FROM L. N. | SENT TO L. N.

AT Hrs. Mts. By | AT Hrs. Mts. By

Date Postmaster

B.

Forest File 21 of 1899–1900

Leaves 1–3

Transferred 14/5

Commenced 20/5/14

SECTION Forests

FILE No. 21 07-1899

SERIAL No. 1

From Mr. J. H. Harwood,

for Hillers' Karri and Jarrah Forests,

To Limited, London.

THE SECY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 4th May 1900.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 2 + one piece of wood

Forwarding a sample of Australian Jarrah wood and describing its advantages.

References to be marked.

This with the sample may be sent to Mr. Pigott for favour of opinion or remarks.

Subd. to 2nd Cr.

(Sd). C.S.

7.5.00.

7/5

(Sd) C. R.

7.5.00.

1899-1900

Submit Secretary

Mr. Wilkinson 2d Councillor

With the Indian Forester for April at page 155 where a descrpn of the wood is given

7/5/00

Submitted 2d Councillor

8/5/00

R.D. 7th Inst. 9.5.900.

Send to Chief Conservator of Forests with reference to Conservator's report which is not received

10.V.00

Subd with draft

12/5/00

TELEPHONE Nº 290 LONDON WALL. TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS-"JARRAH, LONDON."

MILLARS' KARRI & JARRAH FORESTS, LIMITED.

All Communications to be addressed to the Company and not to individuals.

Codes used
A.B.C.
A.I.
ZEBRA.
BEDFORD McNEILL'S.

Agents in Madras:- Messs. Gordon Woodroffe & Coy.

British Admiralty Tests enclosed, shewing strength of Jarrah & Karri compared with other timbers.

Cubbon Hotel, Bangalore.
72, Bishopsgate Street Within.
London, E.C.
May 7th. 1900.

C.Krenivasaieya, Esq.,
Chief Secretary,
Mysore Government, BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

Enclosed please find letter from Lt.Col.Benson. The writer has very much pleasure in sending you a sample of our Australian Jarrah Wood. The advantages claimed for this timber are:-

1. It is absolutely proof against the ravages of "white ants".
2. It possesses absolute freedom from knots, sap, pipe and other defects noticeable in local timbers.
3. It can be supplied in long lengths up to 30 feet or even 40 feet.
4. It is strong and easily worked.
5. It has great endurance under the most trying conditions, due to the essential "Eucalyptus" oil contained in the wood.
6. It is about half the price of best Moulmein or Rangoon Teak.

OUR NEXT SHIPMENT. We are now completing arrangements for a Cargo expected to arrive in Madras in August next, and would be glad to book an order from you in time for that shipment.

PRICE. The price for ordinary scantling, up to 8" x 8" sawn to specification, is Rupees 2 per cubic foot, delivered Ship's side, Madras.

The writer will take the opportunity of calling on you sometime during this week.

Yours faithfully
J. H. Norwood
for Millars' Karri & Jarrah Forests Ltd.

Feb 1st

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Dear Secretary:

I have the honor to
advise you that
I have been
informed that
Consul Horton
has
[illegible]

Yours
Very truly
Frank B. Kellogg

611

EXTRACT FROM THE INDIAN FORESTER FOR APRIL1900.

Page 155.

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN TIMBERS.

Jarrah, Eucalyptus marginata, is the most valuable and most plenti-ful timber tree in the forests of Western Australia. It is estimated that there are 8,000,000 acres of jarrah forest in the Colony, of which 1,052,400 acres are leased. The timber is a reddish hard wood of close, interlocked grain, very durable in water, and of great strength, both transverse and tensile. In addition to its great value for railway sleep-ers, and for street-paving blocks, for which it is already extensively employed in the Metropolis and in several provincial towns, the wood is largely used in Western Australia for furniture and joinery, being easily worked and susceptible of a fine polished surface. The price of jarrah in London at the present time is 2£. 3d. to 2£. 6d. per foot cube, and the market for it is active, supplies not being at present equal to the demand.

Karri, Eucalyptus diversicolor.-This tree is abundant in Western Australia; it attains colossal proportions, stems having been measured to a height of 300 feet without a branch, and with a girth of sixty feet at the base. The timber is light red, of great transverse strength, and elastic, but not so easy to work as jarrah. Karri is in steady demand in the London market. The value of the total timber export of Western Australia, which consists almost exclusively at present of jarrah and

kari, has been as follows, for the years named:-

1896, £88,146; 1896, £116420; 1897, £192451.